ALL ABOUT CASSETTE TAPES

17-Nov-79

INTRODUCTION

· ?. ...

This is a random collection of ideas and notes about cassette tapes for the Atari 400 and 800. It is being compiles at a request by John Ellis for a tape test system for production G.C., but since I have a lot of things on my mind about tapes at the moment, I'll use this time to write them down. These notes are straight from head to keyboard; random (except I'm following a quick outline); no attempt at readibility will be attempted and I'll very likely not re-do this.

Sorry about the overkill, John. If you don't use all this, maybe someone else will.

ATARI CASSETTE TAPE FORMAT

Tapes are recorded 1/4 track stereo, 1 7/8 ips. The tape can be recorded both ways (both sides). Tracks 1,2 are side A left, right; tracks 3,4 are side B right, left (industry standard). On each side, the left channel is used for audio, right for digital.

Audio is recorded on the tapes the normal way, then mixed with Pokey audio in the 400 or 800 and sent to the TV. I've noticed that overmodulation nearly always occurs when both the tape and Pokey are making noise, resulting in distortion. Whenever the tape is moving (i.e., the tape unit has power) any audio on the tape will be fed to the 400/800. The audio is low-pass filtered (in the 400/800?) 6dB/octave at 1kHz to reduce effects of leak from the digital track.

Digital is recorded using the Pokey 2-tone mode producing FSK data up to 600 baud. Asynchronous serial byte-transmission is used 1 start bit (space), eight data bits (O=space, 1=mark), bit zero first through bit 7 last, then one stop bit (mark). More marking is always allowed. The mark frequency is 5327 Hz, space is 3995 (both about 1% lower if made on PAL systems).

The 410 has no bias oscillator; erasure is DC (I think) and uses a standard 1/2 track erase head, therefore any audio is erased. If the machine is stopped, the tape will drift (flywheel effect) but the heads are off and any info on the tape at that point will not be erased. The digital track is recorded from the right-channel playback head, and no bias means saturated recording is used. I was told once that the only current driving the head comes straight from Pokey!

Four tapes are made (to date) for each mastering session on the mastering station--two 7 1/2 ips quarter-track stereo masters (on Maxell tape so far) and two cassette check copies. Pokey serial out is sent through an RC low-pass filter to cut highs (to reduce channel leakage at higher frequencies)--but this and further processins produces some "AM-ing"--more on this later. Since standard tape machines are used, the recording is normal "biased" audio recording. An audio track may be recorded at the same time, coming from a source recorder. Synchronization is achieved at this time either by using data in the digital track on the source tape, or by hand.

The resulting cassette is read by the 410 machine under control of the 400/800. The cassette is stopped/started under control of the computer (the PLAY button must be pushed). Audio is simply sent to the audio mixing circuit—the computer never sees it. Digital is demodulated by a special circuit in the 410: First the signal is AGC'd to a constant level (I don't know what the reaction time of the AGC is). The AGC was built in to allow the 410 to read through tape dropouts. The resulting constant-level signal is then fed through two bandpass filters whose analog outputs are compared. The "winning" filter represents the tone currently under the read head, and the machine produces a zero or one accordingly.

The AGC is very sensitive--"blank" tape contains enough hiss on certain models of the machine that the filters are activated, producing a random pattern of O's and 1's (to date, plastic cassette leaders have not exhibited this problem--reading a leader produces a constant Mark). Of course, unerased tapes on inter-record and inter-file gaps will be read this way, in particular if there had been data there on the tape tefore. The Operating System (OS) and Educational System software is only somewhat tolerant to this "garbage" data--much more on this later. The filters react strangely to shifts in the two tone frequencies, caused by variations in the tape speed. In particular, the demoduation leans toward either 1 or zero, that is, if you run a 50% O/1 alternation then read it back at another speed, the result does not exhibit a 50% duty cycle. This effect reduces the speed tolerance of the system, and as far as I know neither the OS nor the Ed system takes it into account in their automatic playback baud rate correction. Nevertheless, the Ed system, when being developed, was measured to work correctly over a +-7 1/2 % range, and I believe the OS probably has a similar tolerance. No problems with either, other than "normal" occasional errors have been noticed around the lab. The manufacturing tolerance of the machines, I'm told, is +- 3%--so if a user of a 3% slow machine sends a tape to a 3% fast user, the difference is around 6%--we've apparently got it covered.

EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM TAPES

Ed system tapes contain "stream" data in the digital trackthat is, characters are not blocked together. Most data byte values have meaning, but some are unassigned and just ignored if read. 76 characters are graphics (the eighth bit is a color/blank flag). The remaining 32 characters are for control (the eighth bit may or may not be used). One of these characters is used for tape speed reasurement.

The printing characters correspond roughly to ASCII. except that Dorsett has redefined a few of the characters. The ASCII control characters have been redefined for the Dorsett system, with meanings such as stop tape, set color, etc. ALL CHARACTERS ARE INVERTED ON THE TAPE, that is, O is changed to 1 and vice versa (NOT counting the start and stop bits!). This was done so that the OOOOOOOO character (used for baud measurement) would appear on the tape as 11111111--in other words, the data bits look just like the stop bit!

Tapes in the Atari format are created as follows: A Dorsett (processed) master tape is fed to the Atari mastering system. The mastering system contains the equivalent of the Atari 800 computer with a special version of the Ed system program, plus a special set of circuitry to read the tape in Dorsett's format. The master is made in one continuous pass (except, perhaps, between the lessons-more later). The Audio goes directly the the Atari master, the digital is read by the computer and reprocessed into the Atari format. A few notes on Dorsett's tapes: The digital is in the left track, the audio in the right. There are a number of problems with the audio--the tapes have been reprocessed many times. Leak from the digital track is sometimes noticeable. Speed variations, tone changes, level changes are all noticeable. Often there is noise or distortion. The changes occur often rrom trame to frame--sections of the audio have been re-recorded. Sometimes Dorsett has had to cut out sections of audio or re-record them to correct errors or shorten a lesson to less than 15 minutes.

We have recently added an audio limiter to help with level variations. Any tape processed with version H or later uses the limiter. The limiter does not have a noise gate attached, so audio "breathing" is apparent--but only if listened to on high-quality tape. For now, I have decided that since the tapes will ultimately be low-pass filtered and fet through home TV's, the "breathing" is OK.

The digital track shows similar problems with speed variation. Some problems are somewhat alleviated since Dorsett sends us "processed masters." I don't know for sure what this means, but I have been told that 1) processed masters have the old 50 and 120 (?) Hz tones filtered from the audio (often overtones get through, though, since the tones are not clean on the original masters) and 2) the tones on the digital track are "squared up" and level-adjusted. Note that is tones, not bits or bytes.

The digital track consists of data bursts of CUTS (K nsas City) format info at 300 baud. The borsts are discontinuous since Lorsett's development stations are used to create the digital track in short segments. In fact, to correct errors, sections of digital information are often re-recorded. This all too often leaves small bursts of partially-erased old information.

Our best attempts (presently in use) at "reliably" reading these tapes start with a carefully-adjusted version of Dorsett's demodulator. The three outputs of this demodulator (data, 16x clock, and Carrier Detect) are fed to an ACIA (Data, 16% clock and a ready pin which acts somewhat like a reset). The ACIA data is read by the computer. Frame-error bytes (no stop bit detected) cause the system to teep at the operator, and are not written to the output tape.

Perhaps the biggest problem with the way the Dorsett interpreter was implemented is that each characteer read stands alone, that is, must be interpreted. There is no parity, CRC, or any other check on the validity of data (except frame error checking, and, at this writing, I don't even remember whether the frame error is ignored or not!) Everything read is assumed good, and the interpreter cannot tell the difference between good data and blank tape/random data. For this reason, no blank tape must be allowed on the final cassette. To ease the mastering of a bin loop, or to allow sufficient slop for the Recortec process, about 20 seconds of leader is applied at the beginning and end of the master. Around 20 seconds of no-data is also applied between each two lessons on each side, both because when two of dorsett's masters are spliced together that is about the gap that results, and a small amount of blank between lessons has been advertised as an aid to the consumer in locating the second lesson.

When the two Dorsett masters used on a side are spliced together, the side is recorded in one operation. When the side comes from two tapes, the recording is stopped during the gap in the middle to cue up the second tape. This should be the only discontinuity on any Ed system tape's digital track. I have not measured the discontinuity but have merely insured, through many trials, that the Ed system interpreter does not seem to trigger on it.

Just before the start of digital data the "timing marks" are The marks consist of start bits spaced two byte-times turned on. (20 bits) apart. These are read as 11111111 characters, and MUST appear on the tape ONLY as timing marks (the original Dorsett codes left this code open; Dorsett has been informed of our use of the NUL ASCII code for this). Two successive NULs are treated as timing marks, and a running binary damped average of the variance from zero (O) MOD (131) of the. diffe ince between values of Antic's VCDUNT when each NUL was read is us a to control the tape input baud rate. That is, in an ideal world each timing mark is spaced 262 TV lines apart, and the two VCOUNT values read would be equal. The difference read in the counter represents the fraction of 262 that the marks were too close or far apart, and that fraction controls Pokey's baud rate. (Software stops were put on the correction, at about +- 7%, due to the bit size skew at these extremes. It had turned out that if Pokey were set at one extreme a single start bit was stretched out to be read as two O's, producing the data 11111110--timing marks were no longer recognized.)

These timing marks are written onto the output tape wherever there is a gap in the data from Dorsett's tape, after the copy system operator has turned them on. They are turned off on some tapes during the inter-lesson gap. They should be turned off at each end of the tape, but watch for copy system operator error.

The same tapes can be used in Europe, but since VCOUNT has a different modulus in PAL, the interpreter must be changed so that it properly interprets the timing marks. I believe that the OS must undergo a similar change, since VCOUNT was used in its baud rate check software also.

Enders and the second second

Note that the timing marks are 262 lines apart, which corresponds to 20 data bits. This means a slight deviation from 600 baud so that 20 data bits is a close as possible to 262 lines.

OPERATING SYSTEM DATA FORMATS

Accuracy of this section is the best I have, but I have not been intimately involved in this aspect of the system and errors are certainly possible.

The OS writes files in fixed-length blocks at 600 baud. (The baud rate for PAL version OS is unknown at this time, but may be 1% less than NTSC. This may be unfortunate if so, since it will cut down on tolerance to cassette speed variation if tapes are to be compatible between the US and Europe.) Records are 131 bytes long: two marker characters for speed measurement, a control byte, 128 data bytes, then the checksum byte.

The marker characters are each 55 (base 16), resulting in a strict alternation of bits for 20 bits (including the start and stop bits). The marker characters follow "leader tone" which is pure mark tone. I believe (and suggest you check yourself if you want to be more certain than I) the OS checks the tape speed like this: the software looks at 1 2 Pokey serial in bit continuously, looking for a start (zero) bi. When it finds one, the OS stores the current "time" by saving the Antic VCOUNT (and some frame count information). Continuing to look directly at the serial in bit, the OS counts the twenty bits, then uses VCOUNT and the frame counter to determine the elapsed time. The baud rate to use is derived from the result. This is done for each record.

EWARNING: excessive computing in interrupt routines during tape speed measurement will upset the measurement. Be wary of computation done in Antic display list routines. Note, however, that SIO operates as "critical" code so the default VBLANK routine does very little (optional code is not performed). Bypassing the OS in interrupt handling should be done with care.]

I believe the writing of each record is continuous--that is, there is no space between the marker bytes and the subsequent data. I have no idea about the timing of the transition between looking directly at the timing bits and the transition to letting Pokey read the rest of the record itself.

Notice that this system will fail if the first 20 bits are not the 55 bytes. As far as I know, the OS has no real way of knowing what it is reading nor how long it takes while it is measuring the tape speed--it just counts off 20 bits (ten each of alternating zeros and ones). I don't know if any "stops" were placed on the resulting measurement, that is, if the baud rate will only be set in a fixed range of values. The system depends on proper tape positioning, that is, each time a record is to be read, the reading must start in the leader tone for that record, that is, the first zero sensed should be the start bit of the first 55 byte.

Much more on the tape positioning and timing, but first, I'll finish with the tape formats. The third byte in each record tells the OS about the type of record. A normal record contains FC (hex) in this byte. This indicates that there are 128 bytes of data in the record. A short data byte is indicated by FA (hex). The actual number of data bytes, 1 to 127, is stored in the position of the last data byte. I don't know what any unused data bytes contain in such a record. An end-of-file record is indicated by FE (hex) and is followed by 128 zero bytes.

The checksum is a single byte sum of all the other bytes in the record, including the two 55 bytes. The checksum is computed with end-around carry, that is, as each byte is added into the sum, if there is a carry out of the partial sum at that point, one (1) is added to the partial sum. Any carry out of the final addition to the partial sum is added back in.

(

The actual data stored in each record is, of course, up to the program calling on the OS to put it on tape. One data format is supported by the OS, however, so it is mentioned here: cassette boot format. The first six data bytes of the first record are: OS DFLAGS, apparently unused by current OS code (i.e., reserved); 2) record count byte, the count including the first record (I think); 3 and 4) Load address--all data, including these 6 bytes, are written starting at this address. I assume the address is standard 6500 indirect address form. 5 and 6) Entry address minus 6 (I'm told). The file is not read in short IRG mode (see below).

Now back to timing and positioning. A file may be opened, on output and subsequent input, in either normal mode or "short inter-record-gap" (short IRG) mode. Normal mode is meant for readback interleaved with processing; the tape must be able to come to a stop if the processing takes more time than is in the gap. Of course, in normal readback situations, it isn't known whether there's time or not, so the unit is always stopped after each record is read (meaning "stopped" in the computer program sense; if, in reality, the computer "stops" the tape but gets back to another read fast enough, the cassette deck may see only a slight dip in the control line). Similar arguments hold for writing the tape--it stops between records to let the computer compute.

Short IRG mode is somewhat misnamed-the gaps are not necessarily short. Short IRG mode really means simply that the tape is not stopped between records, either when being written or during readback. On readback, the program must issue a read for each record before it passes the read head. If there is a potential problem with this, the software that writes the tape must allow long enough gaps. The only common use of this mode so far is storage of BASIC programs in internal (tokenized) form where on readback BASIC has nothing more to do with the data than put it in RAM. The special BASIC commands CSAVE and CLCAD specify this mode. In this case, the gaps are, in fact, very short.

The CS writes mark tone wherever possible in the gaps. This is, of course, impossible when the tape is stopped and restarted. Two-things happen when the tape is stopped or restarted: the motor changes speed and the electronics lose (or regain) power. The system loses power more slowly than it regains it (due to filter capacitor). The motor stops more slowly than it starts up (inertial stop versus powered start). The result is that, whenever there is power enough to write on the tape the resulting frequency (assuming readback at normal speed) of the mark tone will increase with decreasing tape speed, and there will be sections where the tape is not erased, since the erase head loses power. (If the design of the record-head system is such that it is powered directly from Pokey, then it does not lose power.)

All of which is no problem for short IRG mode, but the normal IRG mode must first write the gap in such a way that there is plenty of safety margin for varying tape stop times, and then read the tape back in such a way that the tape will be ignored during the unknown part of each gap. There are a number of time-spans and time-outs the OS uses when writing and reading back the tape: write pre-record gap time, write post-record gap time, read gap ignore time, write OPEN leader time, read OPEN leader ignore time, and read/write timeout. Many of these times differ depending on short or normal IRG.

They differ on another point, too--there are two releases of the OS. The April 1979 OS (April) was produced in small numbers (a few thousand) and is supposed to be killed, but some units may be seen either on store shelves (demo units) or maybe on the market. The June 1979 OS (June) is the current OS.

Now a walk through the timing: For OPEN (output), each OS starts by writing a mark leader, 9.6 sec long (April) or 19.2 sec (June). In either case, the OS returns from the caller AFTER writing this leader, but leaves the tape running and writing mark. The write/read timeout counter is set as the OS returns, about 15 sec (April) or 35 sec (June). If the timeout occurs before the first buffer is written, the tape will stop, leaving a (probably unrecoverable) gap between the OPEN leader and the first record leader.

NOTE: Due to a SYSTEM RESET bug, the leader tone may be written as space, not mark. If this occurs, the file cannot be read back successfully. The operator should ensure that Pokey is properly reset before doing any cassette output.

Each record is written with the following timing: The motor is started (even if it was already for short IRG) and the pre-record write gap mark tone is written. The duration of the tone depends on short of normal IRG mode, 3 sec normal or 0.25 sec short IRG. The record follows, then the post-write mark gap is written. The length of the post write marking is zero (0). The motor is then stopped for normal mode, but continues writing mark for short IRG mode. Note that the gap, in normal mode, consists of a period of tape with unknown data (up to 1 sec possible, depending on the cassette machine) followed by 3 seconds of marking.

Due to an OS bug, the mark-tone continues after the file is closed, but the motor is stopped after the last (EOF) record in both short and normal modes.

When a tape file is opened for input, it is the user's responsibility to position the tape for proper reading prior to opening the file. On open, the OS starts the tape, then ignores it for 9.6 sec (June) or about 2 sec (April). After this, the OPEN input routine in the OS returns to the calling program, but leaves the motor running. The user must then read the first record before either the first record passes the read head or the write/read timeout occurs (about 15 sec April or 25 sec June).

Read timing for a record involves ignoring the tape after the motor starts. The ignore time is 2 sec for normal IRG mode, and about 0.17 sec for short IRG mode. After this, the OS reads the record. The record must be fully read before the write/read timeout occurs. After the record is read, the motor continues (short IRG) or is stopped (normal). Of course, the next read must come in time for the next record in short IRG mode.

It is obviously impractical to read a short IRG file normally, and vice-versa. However, it is possible to take a tape from one version of the OS to the other, assuming timeout differences are properly accounted for. The major difference is in length, timing and positioning in the long header before the first record. Not all cases will be covered here, but the case of making a massproduced tape readable by both will be. Two cases are discussed. the case of the first file on the tape and that of closing one and then opening the next.

· · ·····

For June OS users, it is desirable that they simply be able to rewind the tape to position for the first file, since that is the procudure stated in the user documentation. This requires that the leader tone be found within 9 seconds and the first record appear long enough after that that it is not skipped, but close enough that timeout does not occur (the actual time to the first record from rewind may depend on the particular application). To read the same tape using the April OS, the user starts at the splice between leader and tape. This requires that the leader tone start within 2 seconds of the splice. Note that the April OS has a rather short timeout.

Between files it is assumed that the tape has been stopped at a known place at the end of a file. The leader on the new file must be at least 9 seconds from that point (June OS) but not more than 2+15 (approx.), that is 17, seconds (to avoid April timeout). Note that BASIC seems to have its own end-file mark on a CSAVE type file, and that it does NOT seem to read the EOF record (written by the OS) when doing a CLOAD. Thus, after CLOAD, the tape is positioned just ahead of the last record of the file. This record is longer than allowed by the 2 sec wait of the April OS, and must be removed - if April OS is to read the next file. For other reasons, too, this last record may be removed on some spliced tapes. The cutting and splicing operation is do by hand, and the cut may be before, in the middle of, or after t is record.

The noisy I/O feature is useful for determining the success of reading the tape, particularly CLOAD. One quickly learns the good and bad sounds the OS makes. With practice, one can learn how to sccessfully do random access file location by guessing and listening to the leak into the audio channel from the digital (difficult if audio also exists on the tape).

Tapes made for mass production on the mastering system may present a problem since there is no motor control on the tape machines. To date, all's fine, since the only tape formats recorded so far are Dorsett (a continuous process), BASIC CSAVE (continuous) and the binery marking format discussed in the next section (also continuous). Note that the OS bug of leaving the mark tone on after each record (including the last) is useful here, since the tone is therefore continuous and even continues after the last record, which is often useful for production reasons (see production considerations). If other file formats are created, where the computer needs time to "think" between writing records, the recommended method is to write the tape on a 410 cassette recorded, then feed that tape to the mastering system and re-digitize it onto good mastering tape. It may also be possible to write the program that makes the tape in such a way that the resulting master is properly timed. Note that a redigitized master made from a normal IRG tape may (will?) have garbage after each record, before the next pre-record marking.

OTHER DATA FORMATS (AS OF THIS WRITING) AND HYBRID FORMATS

A data format has been developed for timing audio tracks to running BASIC programs. Simply, the data track contains continuous mark tone (1) except where a timing mark (space=0) is placed.

To date, tapes using this format have been written on the Dorsett mastering system running BASIC. Short BASIC routines are used both to write and read such tapes. BASIC is not really good at producing reproduceable results as far as length of marker tone is concerned—the mark sizes probably vary widely.

It is feasable to mix this marker tone method with OS records, and it will probably be done. That is, first the BASIC program cues up the tape to a marker tone, then reads a record through CIO.

Since the mastering station does not have machine control over tape motion on the recorders, the stop and start characteristics of the 410 recorder are not matched. Instead, first the BASIC program is written, then the audio (with timing) is written, then the two are physically spliced together. A glitch of some sort occurs at the splice. Two-sided tapes are not mastered on the same tape; instead two masters are created which must then be copied to each side of one tape. Note that the splices occur at places where the computer will not be reading the finished cassette.

ABOUT MASS PRODUCTION OF TAPES

Our masters are converted to cassettes through high-speed copying in one of two ways (so far): the Bin Loop method and the Recorted method. (The latter is not presently in use tut is under consideration).

Ed system tapes have different requirements than the OS (BASIC) tapes. It is possible to produce tapes in a format that works for both; but this may not be the most cost-effective. Ed system requires NO blank tape; the OS does not require this, but if a tape is to be compatible with both the April and June OS, the blank tape must be less than 2 seconds. On the other hand, the OS tapes require a "short" _ reader while Ed_tapes_do_not. Short leader tapes with no blank tape will satisfy both.

First I'll discuss bin loop methods. Our master is copied by the tape company to produce a "loop master". The loop master may be on 1/4", 1/2", any tape width, depending on the copmany (Cory uses 1/4"). NORMALLY (not for us) the bin loop is then spliced into a continuous loop with a short clear leader at the splice. It is then placed in a high-speed loop master machine and repeatedly read. As it is read each time, the data (all four tracks) is copied onto a "pancake" of 1/8" cassette tape, many times end-to-end, on one or more "slave" machines. As the clear section in the loop is sensed, the master machine produces a "cutting tone" which is recorded on one or more tracks of the copies (at final speed, the cutting tone is between 5 and 15 Hz, depending on the system). Finished pancakes are then fed into automatic loading machines which wind the tape into C-zero cassette shells. The shells come with a small loop of leader which is bound to the cassette tape hubs. The loader pulls the leader from the shell, cuts it, and splices the end of the pancake tape to one side. The tape hub is then used to wind the tape into the shell until the cutting tone is sensed, where the tape is cut and spliced to the leader on the other hub. The slack is removed and the tape is fully wound into the shell. Note that only one cut is made between _lessons.

Depending a the company, each tape may then be "exercised"-fast wound and/or rewound. The most common QC action is to check the first tape from each pancake, sometimes the last from each pancake, and sometimes a readom pick from the middle.

This procedure has problems for Atari tapes, and has been modified. First, automatic loading machine require C-zero shells with about 24 inches of leader. This corresponds to about 7 seconds of leader at each end IF the leader is cut in the middle (also there is some variation in leader length). The result is that short leader shells must be used for OS format tapes, and must be loaded on handassisted machines. Automatic loading is presently used for Ed system tapes, though.

Ucrae, though, is the fact that there is blank tape when the standard processing is used. The clear leader used to trigger cutting tone results in blank sections (not counting the tone) of tape, and since only one cut is made between lessons on a pancake (i.e., no tape is thrown cut), that blank tape appears at either one end or the other of each cosette, or both. The solution has been to eliminate the clear marker and splice the bin loop to itself, with continuous tone in the digital tracks. There is some phase discontinuity in the tone at the splice, but the 410 recorder seems not to notice. There is also usually some sort of dropout at the splice, but this has so far not been seen as a problem. The cutting tone is then placed on the loop master on the audio tracks--unortunately at high level and very non-linear. The cutting tone is audible on the resulting copies. This technique has been used so far for all Ed system tapes and most other tapes also.

Note that if both sides of the tape are not going to be used it will be possible to put the cutting tone sufficiently into the digital leader that the cut is guaranteed to be inside it. Some if it will be cut off at the end of the next cassette, etc, but this procedure will eliminate the phase glitch mentioned above.

The Recortec technique is done on a single machine which winds the tape into the shell from the pancake while it is being recorded from the master. Recortec uses our tape as master, meaning 1) we must make it in their format, and 2) it will eventually wear out and must be replaced. The recording is done each way alternately, that is, first the master moves one way, then the other. The resulting cassettes must be exercised so that they all result wound for side one.

Recortec has problems, too. Their into-the-shell method is awkward for speed control and speed fluctuations are often noted on the resultant copy (not noted on the latest samples received). . They may or may not be able to support short leaders needed for OS tapes (I don't know at this time). They requir masters with sides A and B properly placed (we do this for all Ed tpes), but, of course, could make the master from two supplied by us. Their machines DO leave blank tape when unmodified, but they have recently come up with a modification to eliminate it. I don't know a great deal about, the process at this time, but speculate it may (or may not) have to deal with the problem of coming up to speed (i.e., the tape should be up to speed as the splice crosses the record head in order for the tone to be at the right frequency at the splice). The technique they developed involves rewinding the tape out of the shell back to the cutting station to insure that no blank tape is left in the cassette, but it probably winds back too far (needed slop) and some recorded data is left on the beginning of the next wind (which will be re-recorded, but how well??) Nevertheless, the Recortec process has promise.

Recording levels are, at this time, one of the possible problems we are having with tapes. I just received a very expensive set of industry standard reference tapes but have not had time to use them to calibrate our machines. With our machines calibrated to known industry levels, we will be much better able to discuss level problems. with our vendors. At any rate, when bin loop masters are made, our tape is recopied and the levels may be (usually are) changed--to what they think we want. The levels may also be adjustable from the bin loop to the cassette tape slaves--if this is so, we're likely to run into operator error. I don't know if the Recortec system has level controls--if they don't, then our levels set the result. This may also be a problem.

TESTING CONSIDERATIONS

This section will mostly consist of a listing (with occasional discussion) of the problems seen on mass-production type tapes so far, either vendor samples or tapes off the production line. Some testing suggestions may follow that.

This section incomplete now due to lack of time.